APPENDIX VI

THEC Improving Teacher Quality Grant Proposal Evaluation RubricApplication Narrative Summary of Scoring

PROPOSAL NAME & INSTITUTION_____

Reviewer Name

SECTION	SECTION TITLE	POSSIBLE SCORE	SCORE		
Section I	Need for Project	10			
Section II	Scientifically-based research	15			
Section III	Recruitment Plan	15			
Section IV	Instructional Leadership	10			
Section V	Local Education Agency (LEA) Involvement	10			
Section VI	Evaluation Strategies	15			
Section VII	Management Plan	10			
Section VIII	Budget/Budget Narrative	15			
trengths:	TOTAL SCORE	100			
trengths: Veaknesses:	TOTAL SCORE	100			
Veaknesses: Funding Recommendat	ions: Fund as written: Fund with change				
Veaknesses: Funding Recommendat					

Reviewer

Signature

Date

Need for the project	Points awarded
	by the proposed project in the potential LEA; the magnitude of the need for the by the proposed project, and the extent to which the proposed project will vantage individuals.
Scientifically based Research	Points awarded
The extent to which the method of addressing the problem is Information/material distributed throughout the activity is results or outcomes likely to be attained by the proposed pro-	esearch-based and documented; and the importance or magnitude of the
Quality of the recruitment plan	Points awarded
beneficiaries of those services, and the likely impact of the s	osed project are appropriate to the needs of the intended recipients and/or services to be on the intended recipients of those services. Is the e a defined Title VI plan that seeks to recruit minorities? If the proposal is applaints filed against it?
Instructional Leadership	Points awarded
	of the project director or principal investigator, and the qualifications, ersonnel. Does the instructional leadership include partnerships with the rts and Sciences?
Quality of local education agency collaboration	Points awarded
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	of the project with a local High Need school system or an individual school or individual school. What specifies a high-need LEA? Is there a definite tively plans to participate in the proposal?
Quality of the project evaluation	Points awarded

The extent to which the methods of evaluation are thorough, feasible, and appropriate to the goals, objectives, and outcomes of the proposed project, the extent to which the method of evaluation include the use of objective performance measures that are clearly

related to the intended outcomes of the project and will produce quantitative and qualitative data to the extent possible, the extent to
which the methods of evaluation are appropriate to the context which the project operates, and the extent to which the plan will
conduct follow-up evaluations on the participants.

Management Plan	Points awarded
-----------------	----------------

How soundness is the framework of the proposal. Is the timeline accurate and can it be achieved? What institutional resources, actions and commitments are available to program? Is the proposal a manageable program for the proposed time frame, number of participants, number of contact hours and number of instructors.

Budget Plan/Budget narrative

Points awarded _____

The extent to which the costs are reasonable in relation to the objectives, design, and potential significance of the proposed project and the adequacy of support, including facilities, equipment, supplies, and other resources from the applicant organization.

TITLE VI COMPLIANCE REVIEW SURVEY OF THE IMPROVING TEACHER QUALITY PROFESSIONAL DEVELOPMENT TENNESSEE HIGHER EDUCATION COMMISSION

Project Director
Program Name
Institution
Project Year 20 -20
MINORITY REPRESENTATION
Projected number of minority teacher participants
Actual number of minority teacher participants
Total number of teacher participants
Number of minority external personnel/consultants
Total number of minority external personnel/consultants
MINORITY RECRUITMENT (Please use additional paper, if necessary.)
What methods were used to obtain external personnel/consultants?
What methods were used to recruit minority participants?
Was there a plan in place to target minority teacher participants?
If the actual number of minority teacher participants was less than the projected number of minority teacher participants was less than the projected number of minority teacher participants, was there a contingency plan in place to recruit more minority teacher participants? If yes, please specify.
Have there been any Title VI related complaints against this project? If yes, please

provide a narrative explanation of the complaint.

Improving Teacher Quality Grants 2005 - 2006							
		TITLE	Projected	Actual	# External	Minority	
Project Director	Institution	VI	Minority	Minority	Consultants	Consultants	PLAN?
Dr. Clarissa Willis	East Tennessee State University	YES	2	2	0	0	Yes
Dr. Rosalind R. Gann	East Tennessee State University	YES	1	2	1	1	Yes
Dr. William A. Tallon	Lipscomb University	YES	6	8	0	0	Yes
Dr. Chuck Higgins	Middle Tennessee State University	YES	4	1	0	0	Yes
Dr. Kathy VanFleet	Middle Tennessee State University	YES	5	7	10	1	Yes
Dr. E. Ray Phillips	Middle Tennessee State University	YES	3	3	6	1	Yes
Dr. Patricia Patterson	Middle Tennessee State University	YES	3	5	2	2	Yes
Dr. Mary B. Martin	Middle Tennessee State University	YES	2	3	1	1	Yes
Dr. Holly G. Anthony	Tennessee Technological University	YES	2		0		Yes
Dr. Suellen Alfred	Tennessee Technological University	YES	1	1	0	0	Yes
Dr. Kristen Pennycuff	Tennessee Technological University	YES	10	2	0	0	Yes
Dr. Paula V. Engelhardt	Tennessee Technological University	YES	2	0	0	0	Yes
Dr. Ossama A. Saleh	The University of Tennessee at	YES	2	4	0	0	Yes
Dr. Deborah A. McAllister	The University of Tennessee at	YES	15	19	5	0	Yes
Dr. Ann E. Holmes	The University of Tennessee at	YES	5	1	0	0	Yes
Dr. Bonnie H. Ownley	The University of Tennessee at Knoxville	YES	4	2	0	0	Yes
Ms. Judy Cleek	The University of Tennessee at Martin	YES	0	2	0	0	Yes
Dr. Cahit Erkal	The University of Tennessee at Martin	YES	5	6	0	0	Yes